

Quadrature and Tridiagonal Matrices

Gunnar Golden, Hannah Leopold-Brandt, Kyle Monette

December 7, 2023

Introduction

Here we consider *symmetric and unreduced tridiagonal matrices*

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 & \beta_1 & & & \\ \beta_1 & \alpha_2 & \beta_2 & & \\ & \beta_2 & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & \alpha_{n-1} & \beta_n \\ & & & \beta_n & \alpha_n \end{bmatrix}.$$

We'll introduce *Gaussian Quadrature* and explain how it is related the eigenvalue problem of T .

Quadrature – Basic Ideas

The idea of (interpolary) quadrature is to approximate a definite integral of a function using a polynomial interpolant:

$$\int_a^b f(x) dx \approx \int_a^b p_n(x) dx$$

where p_n is a polynomial of degree at most n that interpolates f at $n + 1$ points in $[a, b]$.

Question: Can we do this without constructing p_n ?

Question: For what functions f is this “exact”?

Gaussian Quadrature Rules

There are many different types of **quadrature rules**, depending on the functions f you wish to integrate:

Gauss-Legendre: $\int_{-1}^1 f(x) \cdot 1 \, dx$

Gauss-Chebyshev: $\int_{-1}^1 f(x) \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} \, dx$

Gauss-Laguerre: $\int_0^{\infty} f(x) \cdot e^{-x} \, dx$

Gauss-Hermite: $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) \cdot e^{-x^2} \, dx$

The difference being what *weight function* $w(x)$ you choose.

We'll concentrate on **Gauss-Legendre** here.

Interpolating Functions

Given a function $f(x)$ and $n + 1$ **distinct nodes** $\{x_0, \dots, x_n\} \in [a, b]$, we can *interpolate* f by a n degree polynomial $p_n(x)$

$$p_n(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n f(x_j) \ell_j(x)$$

where $\ell_j(x)$ is the j -th Lagrange basis function:

$$\ell_j(x) = \prod_{\substack{k=0 \\ k \neq j}}^n \frac{x - x_k}{x_j - x_k}, \quad 0 \leq j \leq n \quad \ell_j(x_k) = \begin{cases} 0 & j \neq k \\ 1 & j = k \end{cases}$$

Why?

$$\begin{aligned} p_n(x_k) &= \sum_{j=0}^n f(x_j) \ell_j(x_k) \\ &= f(x_0) \ell_0(x_k) + \dots + f(x_k) \ell_k(x_k) + \dots + f(x_n) \ell_n(x_k) \\ &= f(x_k) \end{aligned}$$

Interpolating Functions

Last Slide:

$$p_n(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n f(x_j) \ell_j(x)$$

$$\int_a^b p_n(x) dx = \int_a^b \sum_{j=0}^n f(x_j) \ell_j(x) dx = \sum_{j=0}^n f(x_j) \int_a^b \ell_j(x) dx.$$

This defines the **weights** of the quadrature rule:

$$w_j = \int_a^b \ell_j(x) dx, \quad 0 \leq j \leq n+1.$$

Therefore:

$$\int_a^b f(x) dx \approx \sum_{j=0}^n w_j f(x_j).$$

Orthogonal Polynomials

Definition 1 (Orthogonal Polynomials)

With a weight function $w(x)$ that is nonnegative and continuous on (a, b) , we define the *weighted inner product*

$$\langle f(x), g(x) \rangle = \int_a^b f(x)g(x)w(x) dx.$$

Two polynomials p and q are said to be *orthogonal* if $\langle p(x), q(x) \rangle = 0$.

We will use $(a, b) = (-1, 1)$ and $w(x) = 1$ (for Gauss-Legendre quadrature).

Building Up GL Quadrature

Given orthogonal polynomials $\phi_0(x) = 1$, and $\phi_1(x) = x$, then apply Gram-Schmidt with the inner product above (*any weight function*) to obtain the recurrence

$$\phi_{k+1}(x) = (x - \alpha_{k+1})\phi_k(x) - \sqrt{\beta_k}\phi_{k-1}(x), \quad k = 0, \dots, n, \quad \phi_{-1}(x) = 0.$$

This generates a set of *pairwise orthogonal polynomials*

$$\{\phi_0, \phi_1, \dots, \phi_n, \phi_{n+1}\}.$$

One can show that the constants α_k and β_k satisfy

$$\alpha_k = \frac{\langle x\phi_{k-1}, \phi_{k-1} \rangle}{\langle \phi_{k-1}, \phi_{k-1} \rangle}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n+1, \quad \beta_k = \frac{\langle x\phi_k, \phi_{k-1} \rangle}{\langle \phi_{k-1}, \phi_{k-1} \rangle}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n$$

where we separately define $\beta_0 = \langle 1, 1 \rangle$.

Building Up GL Quadrature

Last Slide:

$$\alpha_k = \frac{\langle x\phi_{k-1}, \phi_{k-1} \rangle}{\langle \phi_{k-1}, \phi_{k-1} \rangle}, \quad \beta_k = \frac{\langle x\phi_k, \phi_{k-1} \rangle}{\langle \phi_{k-1}, \phi_{k-1} \rangle}$$

For GL quadrature, importantly we find

$$\alpha_k = 0 \quad \forall k, \quad \beta_k = \frac{k^2}{4k^2 - 1}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n, \quad \beta_0 = 2.$$

In fact, the polynomials obtained are the *Legendre polynomials*:

$$\phi_0(x) = 1, \quad \phi_1(x) = x, \quad \phi_2(x) = x^2 - \frac{1}{3}, \quad \phi_3(x) = x^3 - \frac{3}{5}x, \quad \dots$$

...OK, so what?

Determining the Nodes

One can show that the approximation

$$\int_a^b f(x) dx \approx \int_a^b p_n(x) dx$$

is **exact** for $f(x)$ being a polynomial of degree at most $2n + 1$ **if** the nodes are chosen to be the roots of $\phi_{n+1}(x)$.

Lemma 2

The polynomial $\phi_{n+1}(x)$ has $n + 1$ distinct real roots in $[a, b]$.

In Gauss-Legendre Quadrature, recall that the ϕ functions are the Legendre polynomials — functions which finding roots of is an **inefficient** and **numerically unstable** task.

Theorem 3

Given the set of orthogonal polynomials $\{\phi_0(x), \dots, \phi_{n+1}(x)\}$, then λ is a root of $\phi_{n+1}(x)$ if and only if λ is an eigenvalue of the matrix

$$J_n = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_0 & \sqrt{\beta_1} & & & \\ \sqrt{\beta_1} & \alpha_1 & \sqrt{\beta_2} & & \\ & \sqrt{\beta_2} & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & \alpha_{n-1} & \sqrt{\beta_n} \\ & & & \sqrt{\beta_n} & \alpha_n \end{bmatrix},$$

where the associated eigenvector is

$$v(\lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} \phi_0(\lambda) \\ \phi_1(\lambda)/\sqrt{\beta_1} \\ \phi_2(\lambda)/\sqrt{\beta_1\beta_2} \\ \vdots \\ \phi_n(\lambda)/\sqrt{\beta_1 \dots \beta_n} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Eigenvectors

Golub and Welsch proved that the weights w_j can be found by

$$w_j = \frac{\beta_0}{\|v_j\|_2^2}$$

where v_j is the j -th eigenvector of J_n .

In Summary

In conclusion, for GL Quadrature:

- 1 Create the set of Legendre polynomials $\{\phi_0, \dots, \phi_{n+1}\}$ and calculate α_k and β_k .
- 2 Create the tridiagonal matrix J_n .
- 3 Find the eigenvalues of J_n , which are the nodes x_j used in the interpolation.
- 4 Find the eigenvectors of J_n , which give us the quadrature weights w_j .

$$\int_{-1}^1 f(x) dx \approx \sum_{j=0}^n w_j f(x_j)$$

Why choose the nodes and weights in this way?

Because this approximation is exact (!!) for any degree $2n + 1$ polynomial $f(x)$.

Example

For $n = 4$, the Legendre polynomials are

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_0(x) &= 1 & \phi_1(x) &= x & \phi_2(x) &= x^2 - \frac{1}{3} \\ \phi_3(x) &= x^3 - \frac{3}{5}x & \phi_4(x) &= x^4 - \frac{6}{7}x^2 + \frac{3}{35}\end{aligned}$$

The matrix J is

$$J_4 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0.5774 & & & \\ 0.5774 & 0 & 0.5164 & & \\ & 0.5164 & 0 & 0.5071 & \\ & & 0.5071 & 0 & 0.5040 \\ & & & 0.5040 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The eigenvalues of J_4 are the nodes x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 and the weights are found by the Golub and Welsh formula.

Example

With $n = 4$, we can **exactly** integrate polynomials of degree $\leq 2n + 1 = 9$:

$$\int_{-1}^1 x^9 + x^6 dx = \sum_{k=0}^4 w_j \underbrace{f(\lambda_j)}_{f(x_j)} = 0.2857$$

$$\int_{-1}^1 x^{12} dx \quad \text{Error: } 0.008$$

$$\underbrace{\int_{-1}^1 \sin(e^{x^2}) dx}_{\text{NOT integrable!}} \quad \text{Error: } 9.9 \times 10^{-4}$$

Eigenvalues of Tridiagonal Matrix

But *how* did we find the eigenvalues of the matrix in the example?

$$J_4 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0.5774 & & & \\ 0.5774 & 0 & 0.5164 & & \\ & 0.5164 & 0 & 0.5071 & \\ & & 0.5071 & 0 & 0.5040 \\ & & & 0.5040 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

We need another solution that does not use the Theorem. That is, NOT by finding the roots of ϕ_{n+1} .

QR Algorithm

The Solution? QR Algorithm

Algorithm 1 QR-Algorithm

```
1:  $A^{(0)} = A$ 
2: for  $k = 1, 2, \dots$  do
3:    $Q^{(k)} R^{(k)} = A^{(k-1)}$ 
4:    $A^{(k)} = R^{(k)} Q^{(k)}$ 
5: end for
```

- Recall that the QR algorithm converges to the Schur form of A .
 - $A = PTP^T$ where T upper triangular and P orthogonal.
- When A is *symmetric*, then $A^{(k)}$ converges to a **diagonal** matrix!
- Due to similarity, we know the eigenvalues of A .

QR Algorithm

Note that Gauss-Legendre matrices J_n have a zero diagonal.

This is a problem!

Recall the convergence theorem from NLA:

Theorem 4 (NLA Theorem 28.4)

If the QR algorithm is applied to a real symmetric matrix with eigenvalues satisfying $|\lambda_1| > \dots > |\lambda_n|$ and Q has nonsingular leading principal minors, then $A^{(k)}$ converges.

The eigenvalues of J_4 are

$$-0.9062, -0.5385, 0, 0.5385, 0.9062.$$

Which are not strictly monotonic in absolute value.

Therefore, the QR algorithm on J_n does not converge.

The Solution

We must shift these matrices first before applying QR algorithm:

$$J_4 + I = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0.5774 & & & \\ 0.5774 & 1 & 0.5164 & & \\ & 0.5164 & 1 & 0.5071 & \\ & & 0.5071 & 1 & 0.5040 \\ & & & 0.5040 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Now the QR algorithm above will converge, and we can recover the eigenvalues.

Lemma 5

If $A + I$ has an eigenvalue λ , then A has an eigenvalue $\lambda - 1$.

QR Algorithm

Eigenvalues of the shifted matrix

$$J_4 + I = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0.5774 & & & \\ 0.5774 & 1 & 0.5164 & & \\ & 0.5164 & 1 & 0.5071 & \\ & & 0.5071 & 1 & 0.5040 \\ & & & 0.5040 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

are given by

$$0.0938, 0.4615, 1, 1.5385, 1.9062.$$

Therefore J_n has eigenvalues

$$-0.9062, -0.5385, 0, 0.5385, 0.9062.$$

Conclusion

Last Slide: J_n has eigenvalues

$$-0.9062, -0.5385, 0, 0.5385, 0.9062.$$

Then the eigenvectors are formed by Golub-Welsch. For example,

$$v_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \phi_0(-0.9062) \\ \phi_1(-0.9062)/\sqrt{\beta_1} \\ \phi_2(-0.9062)/\sqrt{\beta_1\beta_2} \\ \phi_3(-0.9062)/\sqrt{\beta_1\beta_2\beta_3} \\ \phi_4(-0.9062)/\sqrt{\beta_1\beta_2\beta_3\beta_4} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1.5695 \\ 1.6362 \\ -1.3256 \\ 0.7372 \end{bmatrix}$$

which implies

$$w_1 = \frac{\beta_0}{\|v_1\|_2^2} = \frac{2}{8.4414} = 0.2369$$

Questions?

References

- Embree, Mark. *Lecture Notes on Numerical Analysis*, 2016.
personal.math.vt.edu/embree/math5466/nanotes.pdf
- Trefethen, Lloyd N., and David Bau. Numerical linear algebra. Vol. 181. Siam, 2022.
- Parlett, Beresford N. *The Symmetric Eigenvalue Problem*, 1998